

Unified Model of k -Inflation, Dark Matter & Dark Energy

Nilok Bose^{*} and A. S. Majumdar[†]

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Block JD, Sector III, Salt Lake, Calcutta 700098, India

(Dated: November 27, 2009)

We present a k -essence model where a single scalar field is responsible for the early expansion of the universe through the process of k -inflation and at appropriate subsequent stages acts both as dark matter and dark energy. The Lagrangian contains a potential for the scalar field as well as a non-canonical kinetic term, and is of the form $F(X)V(\phi)$ which has been widely used as a k -essence Lagrangian. After the period of inflation is over the model can be approximated as purely kinetic k -essence, generating dark matter and dark energy at late times. We show how observational results are used to put constraints on the parameters of this model.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 98.80.Cq, 95.36.+x

I. INTRODUCTION

Till date the nature of both dark matter and dark energy is largely unknown and they constitute one of the biggest puzzles of modern cosmology. The dynamics of the process driving the current acceleration of the universe is still unclear but there exist a wide variety of approaches that could theoretically account for this acceleration. The combination of observations of high redshift supernovae, CMBR and large scale structure have categorized the current energy density of the universe to consist of approximately 73% dark energy, which drives the late time acceleration of the universe, and approximately 23% dark matter which clusters and is responsible for the formation of large-scale structure in the universe (see [1] and references therein). These observations, including those of the nearly scale-independent density perturbations, are also in conformity with the widely held view that the early universe underwent a brief period of accelerated expansion, dubbed as inflation.

Since accelerated expansion is a common feature for both the very early and the very late universe, it is plausible that some common mechanism could be responsible for both. Several models have been constructed to explain inflation and dark energy using a single scalar field (see, for example, quintessential inflation [2]). It is also possible for the two dark components of the universe to be the manifestations of a single entity, and a considerable number of models can be found in the literature that try to unify dark matter and dark energy (for instance [3], [4]). Apart from the above schemes there are models that try to unify inflation and dark matter (for instance [5]) and also those that attempt to unify all three, *viz.* inflation, dark matter and dark energy (for instance [6]).

In many of these unification models the dynamics of one or more scalar fields plays the central role. In fact, the idea of k -essence driven by scalar field with a non-canonical kinetic term motivated from the Born-Infeld

action of string theory [7], was first introduced as a possible model for inflation [8]. Later, it was noted that k -essence could also yield interesting models for the dark energy [9], [10]. An interesting attempt was made to unify dark matter and dark energy using kinetic k -essence in [3]. Though this model had its share of problems (it is worth noting that a purely kinetic k -essence leads to a static universe when the late time energy density of the universe is expressed simply as a sum of a cosmological constant and a dark matter term [11]), extensions of the formalism to extract out dark matter and dark energy components within a unified framework have been used also in subsequent works [12].

Recently, we [11] have proposed a k -essence model that reproduces the essential features of inflation, dark matter and dark energy within a unified framework. We found that a couple of parameters of this model had to be tuned in order to conform with various observational features pertaining to both the early and the late time eras of the universe. The Lagrangian chosen in this model was of the form where the kinetic and potential terms were decoupled in the standard way. However, it may be recalled that in most k -essence models [9], [10] including the original k -inflation idea [8], the distinguishing feature was the use of non-canonical kinetic terms in the Lagrangian of the form $F(X)V(\phi)$. In the present paper we return to such a Lagrangian with the motivation of reproducing the features of inflation in the early universe, and also generating dark matter and dark energy at late times. We find that after the early expansion is over, our present model can be approximated as kinetic k -essence, *i.e.*, the dynamics becomes dominated by only the kinetic component of the scalar field. We show that the late time energy density reproduces a cosmological constant and a matter like term which we call dark matter. We then consider observational results from the both the early and late eras, which are used to put constraints on the parameters of this model.

^{*}Electronic address: nilok@bose.res.in

[†]Electronic address: archan@bose.res.in

II. THE MODEL

We begin with a Lagrangian for a scalar field ϕ of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = F(X)V(\phi) \quad (1)$$

where X is defined as

$$X = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi$$

Throughout this paper we will work with a flat Robertson-Walker metric having signature $(+, -, -, -)$. Taking the scalar field to be homogeneous in space, which is the usual case, we get $X = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2$.

The functional forms of F and V are taken to be

$$F(X) = KX - m_{Pl}^2 L \sqrt{X} + m_{Pl}^4 M \quad (2)$$

$$V(\phi) = 1 + e^{-\phi/\phi_c} \quad (3)$$

where the parameters K , L and M are dimensionless, and are taken to be positive. The parameter ϕ_c is also taken to be positive and clearly has the dimension of ϕ . We work in natural units and consider V to be dimensionless. As is the usual case, the scalar field ϕ has the dimension of mass. From the definition of X it turns out that X and hence F has dimension M^4 .

The energy density in this case is given by

$$\rho = V(\phi)(2XF_X - F) \quad (4)$$

where $F_X \equiv dF/dX$. So substituting the forms of F and V in (4) we get

$$\rho = (1 + e^{-\phi/\phi_c})(KX - m_{Pl}^4 M) \quad (5)$$

The pressure, which is simply the Lagrangian, turns out to be

$$p = (1 + e^{-\phi/\phi_c})(KX - m_{Pl}^2 L \sqrt{X} + m_{Pl}^4 M) \quad (6)$$

The equation of state parameter is given by

$$w = \frac{F}{2XF_X - F} \quad (7)$$

which in our model evaluates to

$$w = \frac{KX - L\sqrt{X} + M}{KX - M} \quad (8)$$

The sound speed, or the speed at which perturbations travel, is defined to be [13]

$$c_s^2 \equiv \frac{\partial p / \partial X}{\partial \rho / \partial X} = \frac{F_X}{2XF_{XX} + F_X} \quad (9)$$

where $F_{XX} = d^2F/dX^2$. Note that this definition is different from the usual definition of the adiabatic sound

speed (namely, $c_s^2 = \frac{dp}{d\rho}$). However, it has been shown recently [14] that perturbations in such models travel with a speed defined as above, where the authors also define this to be the “phase speed”.

Now, the equation of motion for the k -essence scalar field is given by

$$(2XF_{XX} + F_X)\dot{X} + 6HF_X X + \frac{\dot{V}}{V}(2XF_X - F) = 0 \quad (10)$$

which has been written in terms of X . If V is a constant or varies very slowly with time so that the third term in (10) is negligible then the situation corresponds to kinetic k -essence and the field equation can be written as

$$(2XF_{XX} + F_X)\dot{X} + 6HF_X X = 0 \quad (11)$$

This can be integrated exactly [3] to give the solution

$$\sqrt{X}F_X = \frac{k}{a^3} \quad (12)$$

where k is a constant of integration. This solution was previously derived in a slightly different form in Ref. [10]. The above result holds irrespective of the spatial curvature of the universe.

The energy conservation equation states that

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p) = -6HF_X X V \quad (13)$$

This shows that the fixed points of the equation correspond to the extrema of F [8], which from equations (1) and (4) yields $\rho = -p$. Moreover ρ decreases with time when $\rho > -p$ and increases when $\rho < -p$ showing that any point corresponding to $\rho = -p$ is an attractor and, as is well known, will lead to exponential inflation.

In our model the extrema of F correspond to $X = 0$, or $X = m_{Pl}^4 \frac{L^2}{4K^2}$. The point $X = 0$ is of no significance since that corresponds to energy density and pressure which are constant in time. We take

$$X_0 = m_{Pl}^4 \frac{L^2}{4K^2} \quad (14)$$

which leads from definition of X , to

$$\dot{\phi}_0 = m_{Pl}^2 \frac{L}{\sqrt{2}K} \quad (15)$$

where we have taken the positive sign for $\dot{\phi}$. For the above value of X the energy density and pressure turn out to be

$$\rho = V(\phi) \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) m_{Pl}^4 = -p \quad (16)$$

Actually, X_0 corresponds to an instantaneous attractive fixed point and X evolves slowly away from that point, which is the analog of “slow-roll” potential driven inflation in which the potential dominates the kinetic

term and evolves slowly. Hence, in direct analogy, the above calculated values of ρ and p can be called the “slow-roll” values. In our model we assume that the exponential term inside V is much larger than 1 during the course of inflation, for which we must have $\phi_0/\phi_c < 0$, and also $|\phi_0/\phi_c| \gg 1$. From Eq.(13) we can write $\phi_0 = \dot{\phi}_0 t + C_\phi$, where C_ϕ is an integration constant. This constant can have a negative value, hence making $\phi_0 < 0$. Thus, we choose $\phi_c > 0$, such that the conditions $\phi_0/\phi_c < 0$, and $|\phi_0/\phi_c| \gg 1$ are satisfied during inflation. Since $\dot{\phi}_0 > 0$, it follows that ϕ becomes less and less negative with time. V can be quite accurately approximated as $e^{-\phi/\phi_c}$. This enables us to find the number of e-folds of expansion N , under this “slow-roll” approximation as

$$N = \int_{t_i}^{t_e} H dt = \int_{\phi_i}^{\phi_e} H \frac{d\phi}{\dot{\phi}} \quad (17)$$

which turns out to be

$$N \simeq \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{3}} m_{Pl}^{-1} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)^{1/2} \frac{\sqrt{2}K}{L} 2\phi_c \left(\sqrt{V_i} - \sqrt{V_e} \right) \quad (18)$$

where the subscripts ‘i’ and ‘e’ refer to the initial and final values respectively.

The slow-roll condition for k -inflation is given by $[\delta X/X_0] \ll 1$. Now, during the post slow-roll stage we can write $X = X_0 + \delta X$. Also, from Eq.(13), one has

$$\frac{F_X}{(KX - m_{Pl}^4 M)} = -\frac{1}{6X} \frac{\dot{V}}{HV} \quad (19)$$

Retaining terms up to the first order in δX we get

$$\frac{\delta X}{X_0} \simeq \frac{\frac{1}{X_0} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)}{\sqrt{3\pi} \frac{L\phi_c}{X_0 m_{Pl}^2} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{V} - \frac{K}{m_{Pl}^4}} \quad (20)$$

Inflation ends when $\frac{\delta X}{X_0} \sim 1$. Using this fact in Eq.(20) we can find the expression for the final value of the potential, V_e to be

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{V_e} &\simeq \frac{m_{Pl}}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \frac{1}{L\phi_c} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)^{1/2} \\ &+ \frac{m_{Pl}}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \frac{L}{4K\phi_c} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)^{-1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The kinematics of the inflationary era in our model may be viewed in the following way. We start with some representative point in the (ρ, p) plane corresponding to some initial value of ϕ such that the slow-roll condition is satisfied. In fact, during the first evolutionary stage the representative point takes only a few e-folds to reach the nearest inflationary attractor that corresponds

to $\rho = -p$. After this initial stage the representative point follows the post slow-roll motion, $X = X_0 + \delta X$ with $\delta X/X_0 \ll 1$, thereby staying near but not exactly on the $\rho = -p$ line. The value of δX is positive (as we will show later in the Section on observational constraints). Hence X slowly moves away from the value X_0 . As the evolution continues, the slow-roll condition is satisfied to a less and lesser extent till a time is reached when the slow-roll condition is actually violated ($\delta X/X_0 \sim 1$), and one naturally exits the inflationary stage.

Now, after inflation ends we have $X > X_0$, meaning that the time evolution of ϕ is faster than during inflation, and hence its value increases very quickly and correspondingly decreases the value of the exponential part in V , so that one gets $V \simeq 1$. In order for such a behaviour to ensue, we must have $\phi/\phi_c > 0$ after inflation is over. Since we have already chosen ϕ_c to be positive, then ϕ has to become positive after inflation where previously it was negative, and this is exactly its behaviour as pointed out earlier, i.e., $\dot{\phi}_0$ is positive. Note that even if the ratio ϕ/ϕ_c is not too big compared to 1, the exponential part of the potential will be negligible. Thus, after the inflationary expansion is over the exponential part in V quickly decays away (we will present an estimate of the time taken for this process in the section on observational constraints on the model). When the exponential term becomes quite negligible we have

$$V \approx 1, \quad \dot{V} \approx 0$$

So the field equation effectively becomes of the form of Eq.(11) and the dynamics can be approximated quite well by the purely kinetic form of k -essence. On using Eq.(12) to find X as a function of a we get

$$X = \frac{1}{K^2} \left(m_{Pl}^2 \frac{L}{2} + \frac{k}{a^3} \right)^2 \quad (22)$$

Therefore the corresponding expression for the k -essence energy density turns out to be

$$\rho = m_{Pl}^4 \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) + m_{Pl}^2 \frac{kL}{Ka^3} + \frac{k^2}{Ka^6} \quad (23)$$

The subsequent evolution of the universe is described as follows. After the end of inflation the universe is in a kinetic dominated period when the third term in Eq.(23) dominates, which corresponds to $p = \rho \sim a^{-6}$. But this term becomes small quickly in comparison to radiation which goes as $\sim a^{-4}$ and a period of radiation domination in the universe ensues. The second term in Eq.(23) gains prominence in the epoch of matter domination and we identify it with dark matter. But as the universe evolves towards the present era the first term begins to dominate and acts like a cosmological constant giving rise to the late time acceleration of the universe. The equation of

state parameter after inflation is over is given by

$$w = \frac{\frac{k^2}{Ka^6} - m_{Pl}^4 \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)}{m_{Pl}^4 \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) + m_{Pl}^2 \frac{kL}{Ka^3} + \frac{k^2}{Ka^6}} \quad (24)$$

with the following values of w corresponding to the various epochs:

$w \approx 1$ after the end of inflation and before radiation domination

$w \approx 0$ during matter domination

$w \rightarrow -1$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$

Using Eq.(9) the sound speed is found to be

$$c_s^2 = \frac{1}{m_{Pl}^2 \frac{La^3}{2k} + 1} \quad (25)$$

From the above equation it is clear that the sound speed decreases as the universe expands.

III. OBSERVATIONAL CONSTRAINTS

So far we have seen that the model considered by us produces the primary features of k -inflation in the early universe and reproduces dark matter as well as a cosmological constant in the later period of evolution. We will now use various observational features to constrain the parameters of our model. A notable feature [8, 9] in our model is that the potential and the kinetic part are coupled. So parameters that are relevant during the late time era cannot be determined independently of the parameters relevant during the inflationary era. It is thus practical to first carry out the analysis in the late time era and then use the calculated values of the relevant parameters in the inflationary era. We have provided the expression for the k -essence energy density after inflation is over in Eq.(23). Using the current observed value of the cosmological constant, we get

$$m_{Pl}^4 \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) \simeq 10^{-48} (GeV)^4 \quad (26)$$

Also, observations put the current dark matter density to be about 1/3rd of the current dark energy density. This enables us to write

$$\frac{kL}{Ka_0^3} \approx \frac{1}{3} m_{Pl}^2 \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) \quad (27)$$

where the subscript '0' signifies the present epoch. We know from observations that the fraction of the current energy density contained in radiation is $(\Omega_R)_0 \simeq 5 \times 10^{-5}$

corresponding to the present radiation density $(\rho_R)_0 \simeq 6.94 \times 10^{-53} (GeV)^4$. Denoting the third term in Eq.(23) as ρ_k , and assuming that ρ_R crosses over ρ_k at a redshift of $z \sim 10^{12}$ (prior to the nucleosynthesis at a redshift of 10^{10}), we get

$$z^2 = \frac{(\rho_R)_0 Ka_0^6}{k^2} \Rightarrow \frac{k}{a_0^3} = \frac{K^{1/2}}{z} (\rho_R)_0^{1/2} \quad (28)$$

Now from Eq.(27) and Eq.(28) we get

$$m_{Pl}^2 \frac{L}{K^{1/2}} \simeq 4 \times 10^{-11} (GeV)^{-2} \quad (29)$$

From Eqs.(28) and (29) it can be seen that the cross-over between dark matter and ρ_k occurs at a redshift of $\sim 10^9$ and that between radiation and dark matter at a redshift of $\sim 10^4$, i.e., at the epoch of matter-radiation equality. We also find the present value of ρ_k to be

$$(\rho_k)_0 = \frac{k^2}{Ka_0^6} = \frac{(\rho_R)_0}{z^2} \approx 6.94 \times 10^{-77} (GeV)^4 \quad (30)$$

The sound speed at the epoch of matter radiation equality turns out to be

$$(c_s^2)_{eq} = \frac{1}{m_{Pl}^2 \frac{La_{eq}^3}{2k} + 1} = \frac{1}{m_{Pl}^2 \frac{La_0^3}{2z_{eq}^3 k} + 1} \simeq 4.1 \times 10^{-16} \quad (31)$$

Now, we can repress w from Eq.(24) in terms of the redshift z . Since ρ_k is negligible in comparison to the other components, we have

$$w \approx \frac{-\left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)}{\left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) + m_{Pl}^{-2} \frac{kL}{Ka_0^3} (z+1)^3} \quad (32)$$

Therafter, it is possible to find dw/dz . Its value at the current epoch, i.e., at redshift $z = 0$ using Eqs.(26) and (27) turns out to be

$$\left(\frac{dw}{dz} \right)_0 \approx 2.733 \times 10^{-28} \quad (33)$$

One can also estimate the current value of the equation of state parameter in our model, which using (32) and putting $z = 0$ turns out to be

$$w_0 \approx -0.75 \quad (34)$$

We can further find out the value of the redshift at which the universe started its transition from the matter dominated decelerating era to its presently accelerating era. Knowing that for acceleration to begin we must have $w = -1/3$, from Eq.(32) we find that

$$z_{acc} \approx 0.817 \quad (35)$$

Such a value for the redshift is quite compatible with present observations [15]. But, from Eqs.(26) and (29) we find that

$$m_{Pl}^4 M = 4 \times 10^{-22} - 10^{-48} (GeV)^4 \quad (36)$$

showing that a tuning of the parameter M is needed. This is expected since it is simply a rephrasing of the coincidence problem associated with the present window of acceleration of the universe.

We now revisit the inflationary era for analyzing the observational constraints pertaining to it. From Ref.[13] the spectrum of scalar density perturbations in k -inflation is given by

$$P = \frac{16}{9} \frac{m_{Pl}^{-4}}{c_s} \frac{\rho}{1+p/\rho} = -\frac{16}{9} \frac{m_{Pl}^{-4}}{c_s} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}} \frac{\rho^{5/2}}{\dot{\rho}} \quad (37)$$

$$= \frac{32\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} m_{Pl}^{-1}}{c_s} \frac{\sqrt{2} K \phi_c}{L} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)^{3/2} V_i^{3/2}$$

where in the second step we have used the energy conservation law and also used the Friedmann equation. Using the COBE normalization $\sqrt{P} \sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$, and assuming that 60 e-folds of expansion takes place, we can rewrite Eq.(37) to get an expression for V_i to be

$$\sqrt{V_i} = \frac{(27)^{1/6}}{4} \frac{c_s^{1/3} m_{Pl}^{-1/3}}{\pi^{1/6}} \left(\frac{PL}{K\phi_c} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)^{-1/2} \quad (38)$$

Using Eqs.(38) and (21) in Eq.(18) we can write

$$c_s^{1/3} \phi_c^{2/3} = \frac{4}{(27)^{1/6}} \pi^{1/6} m_{Pl}^{2/3} \left(\frac{K}{PL} \right)^{1/3} \quad (39)$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \frac{1}{L} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \frac{L}{4K} + \frac{NL}{2^{3/2}K} \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \right]$$

Now from Eq.(9) we see that in slow-roll approximation when $F_X = 0$ we get $c_s^2 = 0$. But, in the post slow-roll stage, $X = X_0 + \delta X$, and F_X does not vanish. To first order in δX we can write $F_X \approx (F_{XX})_0 \delta X$. Using this in Eq.(9) we get

$$c_s^2 \simeq \frac{\delta X}{2X_0} \quad (40)$$

Stability requires $\delta X > 0$ and we show now that this is indeed the case. From Eqs.(38) and (20) we calculate $\delta X/X_0$ when $V = V_i$, to get

$$\frac{\delta X}{X_0} = \frac{\frac{4K^2}{L^2} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)}{\sqrt{3\pi} \frac{4K^2}{L} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \frac{1}{L} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \frac{L}{4K} + \frac{NL}{2^{3/2}K} \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \right] - K} \quad (41)$$

It is to be noted that in order to evaluate the above equation the actual value of K or L is not required, instead

the ratio $L/\sqrt{K}$ from Eq.(29) serves the purpose. Substituting the various values we find that

$$\frac{\delta X}{X_0} \simeq 2.748 \times 10^{-29} \quad (42)$$

which is positive as claimed. The sound speed is therefore found to be

$$c_s^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta X}{X_0} \simeq 1.374 \times 10^{-29} \quad (43)$$

Having found the sound speed and using the values of P and N , we now use Eq.(29) in Eq.(39) to get

$$\frac{1}{\phi_c \sqrt{K}} \simeq 3.23 \times 10^{15} (GeV)^{-1} \quad (44)$$

We now have all the parameter values to evaluate the value of V at the beginning and at the end of k -inflation which we write below

$$V_i \simeq 9.166 \times 10^{97} \quad (45)$$

$$V_e \simeq 1.107 \times 10^{94} \quad (46)$$

The corresponding energy densities are

$$\rho_i = V_i \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) m_{Pl}^4 \simeq 9.166 \times 10^{49} (GeV)^4 \quad (47)$$

$$\rho_e = V_e \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right) m_{Pl}^4 \simeq 1.107 \times 10^{46} (GeV)^4 \quad (48)$$

The tensor-to-scalar ratio is given by [13]

$$r = 24c_s \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho} \right) = -\frac{24c_s m_{Pl}}{\sqrt{24\pi}} \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho^{3/2}} \quad (49)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{24}{\pi}} \frac{c_s}{\phi_c} \left(\frac{L^2}{4K} - M \right)^{-1/2} \frac{L}{\sqrt{2}K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{V_i}}$$

where in the second step we have used the energy conservation and Friedmann's equation. On substituting the parameter values we get

$$r = 9.776 \times 10^{-16} \quad (50)$$

The scalar spectral index can be obtained from the relation [13]

$$n_s - 1 = -3 \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{H} \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(1 + \frac{p}{\rho} \right) \quad (51)$$

$$- \frac{1}{H} \frac{d}{dt} \ln c_s$$

$$= \frac{2\dot{\rho}}{\rho H} - \frac{\ddot{\rho}}{\rho H} + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} - \frac{1}{H} \frac{\dot{c}_s}{c_s}$$

To evaluate n_s the values of the following quantities are required

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} &= \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}} = -\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{\phi_c} = -\frac{m_{Pl}^2}{\phi_c} \frac{L}{\sqrt{2}K} = -9.136 \times 10^4 GeV \\ H &= \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_i} = 2.771 \times 10^6 GeV \\ \dot{H} &= -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho_i + p_i) = \frac{4\pi G}{9} \frac{\dot{\rho}_i}{H} = -4.219 \times 10^{10} (GeV)^2 \\ \frac{\dot{c}_s}{c_s} &= 2.519 \times 10^{-94} GeV\end{aligned}$$

All the above values have been calculated using the slow-roll approximation pertaining to the beginning of k -inflation. Therefore, using these values in Eq.(51) we get

$$n_s = 0.96514 \quad (52)$$

This value is quite close to what is predicted by models of potential driven inflation. Eq.(51) differs from the appropriate expression in the case of usual inflation by the term proportional to the derivative of the sound speed. Since in standard inflation $c_s = 1$, this term vanishes and one obtains n_s to be very close to 1, i.e., a scale invariant spectrum. But in k -inflation models, $c_s \neq 1$, and a tilted spectrum with $n_s < 1$ is generally predicted. However, in our model this term in Eq.(51) makes a vanishingly small contribution, and hence we get a spectral index that is again quite close to 1. Only the value of the tensor-to-scalar ratio in our model makes it distinguishable from standard inflation where typically a value of about 0.12 to 0.15 is obtained.

Now, the duration of inflation in our model is found to be

$$t_e - t_i = \int_{\phi_i}^{\phi_e} \frac{d\phi}{\dot{\phi}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}K}{L} (\phi_e - \phi_i) m_{Pl}^{-1} \approx 6.9 \times 10^{-29} s \quad (53)$$

After the end of inflation, the stage of kinetic dominated evolution sets in very quickly. In order to have an idea as to how much time it takes for the exponential part of the potential to become negligible, we assume that for argument's sake, $X \simeq X_0$. This assumption is only made to perform a simple calculation and get an upper bound on the time required for the exponential part to decay (the actual time taken is much smaller since $X > X_0$ and ϕ evolves more rapidly compared to its linear evolution during inflation). The time taken after inflation for the exponential part to attain the value $e^{-\phi/\phi_c} \simeq 0.01$, is about $1.7 \times 10^{-27} s$. Thus, the time required for the k -essence field to effectively behave as kinetic k -essence is of the order of $10^{-27} s$. This again justifies our analysis of

the previous section pertaining to the post inflationary period being dominated by the dynamics of purely kinetic k -essence. It should be noted that the estimate for the time required for the universe to enter into a kinetic dominated era after inflation is actually an upper bound. In reality the time required is much shorter since $X > X_0$ and the scalar field evolves more rapidly with time than during the inflationary era (the potential decreases very quickly to assume an almost constant value).

Reheating in this model could be caused by gravitational particle production. The process of gravitational reheating in the presence of kinetic domination by a scalar field is not yet understood very well [16]. However, standard calculations [17] give the density of particles produced at the end of inflation to be $\rho_R \simeq 8.67 \times 10^{15} g (GeV)^4$ where g is the number of fields which produce particles at this stage, likely to be between 10 and 100. This energy density if immediately thermalized would give rise to a temperature of

$T_e \simeq 9.65 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{g}{g_*}\right)^{1/4} GeV$, where g_* is the total number of species in the thermal bath and maybe somewhat higher than g . Assuming that immediately after the end of inflation there is complete kinetic domination so that the scalar field density falls as a^{-6} , it is estimated that in our model the universe has to expand by a factor of about 10^{15} for radiation domination to set in. After that expansion the temperature which goes as $T \propto 1/a$ comes out as $T \simeq 9.65 \times 10^{-12} \left(\frac{g}{g_*}\right)^{1/4} GeV$. So we see that the temperature is not high enough for a successful nucleosynthesis for which a temperature around 1 MeV is needed. Now, if we change our parameters somewhat such that the value of the redshift for the cross-over between ρ_R and ρ_k is 10^6 , then we find that the reheating temperature turns out to be $T \simeq 9.65 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{g}{g_*}\right)^{1/4} GeV$ which is roughly about the order of 0.1 MeV. There have been some recent studies which indicate that very low reheating temperatures could also be a viable option for successful nucleosynthesis (see, for instance [18]). These ideas have to be analyzed in detail in the context of k -essence scenarios in order to check how far gravitational reheating could be successful in our model.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize we have considered a k -essence model that produces inflationary expansion in the early universe by the process of k -inflation and later on generates both dark matter and dark energy at appropriate subsequent stages. For our Lagrangian we have considered that form which has been widely used for k -essence models [9]. In contrast to an earlier model studied by us [11], the potential and the kinetic parts of the scalar field are not decoupled, leading to coupling between the inflationary

era and the late time parameters. A significant feature of this fact can be found in the expression for the energy density. It thus follows that the generated cosmological constant which dominates the dynamics at late times, derives its value from inflationary parameters. It needs to be mentioned here that our model is unable to address the coincidence problem. The addressal of this problem within the context of k -essence is made possible by the existence of fixed points in the radiation and matter era. In order to have these fixed points, it is necessary that the potential has the form $V(\phi) = 1/\phi^2$. It was shown that such models that solve the coincidence problem suffer from superluminal propagation of the field perturbations [19] (which, however, may not affect causality [20]). But the choice of the potential in our model does not allow the existence of fixed point in the radiation and matter era. Consequently, this model does not suffer from the problem of superluminal propagation.

Our model is able to reproduce the basic features of k -inflation. Although in general k -inflation predicts that $n_s < 1$, our model gives rise to a value which is nearly the same with what is obtained in standard potential di-

ven inflation, predicting an almost scale invariant density perturbation spectrum. But, the value of the calculated tensor-to-scalar ratio is quite different from what is obtained in standard inflationary models. After the inflation is over the potential quickly becomes constant and we are able to approximate the model as purely kinetic k -essence. The late time energy density and the sound speed in terms of the scale factor a were obtained. The resultant energy density contained terms that achieved the desired unification of dark matter and dark energy. We showed that the sound speed calculated at the epoch of matter-radiation equality came out to be very small, thus posing no problem for structure formation, since it further decreases as the universe expands. Our estimation of the current equation of state and the redshift at which the current acceleration of the universe started, lie within observational bounds. Further studies would be needed to see if gravitational reheating could be a viable feature of such a scheme.

Acknowledgements: ASM would like to acknowledge support from the DST project SR/S2/PU-16/2007.

-
- [1] V. Sahni [arxiv:astro-ph/0403324v3]; E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D 15**, 1753 (2006); E. Komatsu et al. [arxiv:astro-ph/0803.0547].
 - [2] P. J. E. Peebles and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 063505 (1999); A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 083503 (2001); N. J. Nunes and E. J. Copeland, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 043524 (2002); K. Dimopoulos, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 123506 (2003); M. Sami and V. Sahni, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 083513 (2004); A. Gonzales, T. Matos and I. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 043524 (2005); I. P. Neupane and C. Scherer, JCAP **0805**, 009 (2008).
 - [3] R. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 011301 (2004).
 - [4] T. Padmanabhan and T. R. Choudhury, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 081301(R) (2002); A. Arbey, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 043516 (2006); N. Bilic, G. B. Tupper and R. D. Viollier, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 023515 (2009).
 - [5] J. E. Lidsey, T. Matos, and L. A. Ureña-López, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 023514 (2002).
 - [6] A. R. Liddle, C. Pahoud and L. A. Ureña-López, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 121301(R) (2008).
 - [7] A. Sen, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A17**, 1797 (2002); N. D. Lambert and I. Sachs, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 026005 (2003).
 - [8] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour, and V. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 209 (1999).
 - [9] T. Chiba, T. Okabe, M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 023511 (2000); C. Armendariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4438 (2000); C. Armendariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov, and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 103510 (2001); T. Chiba, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 063514 (2002); L. P. Chimento and A. Feinstein, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19** 761 (2004).
 - [10] L. P. Chimento, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 123517 (2004).
 - [11] N. Bose and A. S. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 103517 (2009).
 - [12] L. P. Chimento, M. Forte and R. Lazkoz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20**, 2075 (2005).
 - [13] J. Garriga and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 219 (1999).
 - [14] A. J. Christopherson and K. A. Malik, Phys. Lett. B **675**, 159 (2009).
 - [15] A. Melchiorri, L. Pagano and S. Pandolfi, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 041301(R) (2007).
 - [16] E. J. Chun, S. Scopel and I. Zaballa, arXiv:0904.0675.
 - [17] L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 2955 (1987); B. Spokoiny, Phys. Lett. B **315**, 40 (1993).
 - [18] K. Kohri, A. Mazumdar and N. Sahu, arXiv:0905.1625.
 - [19] C. Bonvin, C. Caprini and R. Durrer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 081303 (2006).
 - [20] E. Babichev, V. Mukhanov and A. Vikman, JHEP **0802**, 101 (2008).